

2777

RECEIVED

OCT 5 2010

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Shomper, Kris

From: Kaufman, Kim
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 10:46 PM
To: IRRC
Subject: Fw: Reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation

Augmented beyond the form in previous letters

----- Original Message -----

From: Inayet Sahin [mailto:inayet@beyondgreenliving.org]
Sent: Monday, October 04, 2010 10:36 PM
To: Kaufman, Kim
Subject: Reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation

My name is Inayet Sahin, I am a raw milk consumer and I respectfully request that you reject proposed regulation #2777 Department of Agriculture 2-160: Milk Sanitation.

I have my undergraduate degree in biology and masters in education, and currently I work as an Organic and Green consultant; my husband is an Anesthesiologist and we love raw milk! It has healed our family of our allergies as well as our milk intolerance. And it is so very delicious and satiating. It came recommended to us from our NICU Pediatrician friend 4 years ago and we are ever grateful to him for his advice.

I am an intelligent, discriminating consumer and do not need protection from my farmer-neighbor or local market or store. If they provide an unsatisfactory product or fail to appropriately correct a problem they will be out of business quickly. At that level, State regulation does not and cannot provide a higher level policing; every consumer polices that supplier with every transaction and has direct enforcement options. Where we need government involvement is where the suppliers or markets are no longer our neighbors but rather corporations created by the State. Food safety is not size neutral.

Larger operations are more complex, problems have much more far-reaching impacts (example egg recall) and we have no direct meaningful recourse if there is a problem. The regulation needs to focus on those operations.

Although some regulations on this latter group are necessary, the regulation could be much simpler if they were performance standards, requiring that the end product achieves a desired result. How that result is achieved is the producers' responsibility, not the State's. That would result in the State's role being to test for compliance, a function that could easily be contracted out, rather than inserting itself in micromanaging the operation. Again, I view the proposed regulation as excessive, and in some cases onerous and unnecessary. These flaws warrant that the proposed regulation be rejected.

Thank you for your time and attention.